

TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2020/21



How Plymouth will invest to grow and meet future Infrastructure needs

Foreword



Councillor Mark Lowry **Cabinet Member for Finance**

“This Strategy demonstrates the network of controls that are in place to ensure our investments are secure.

It also demonstrates our commitment to sound management and control of the Council’s cash and investments.

It also shows how the Council’s ambitious capital programme will be funded and offers much greater openness and transparency to residents and stakeholders”



Andrew Hardingham **Assistant Director for Finance**

“This Strategy is designed to underpin the Council’s ambition to invest in the future of Plymouth. It offers a series of opportunities to manage the Council’s finances to maximise returns, reduce risk, diversify investments and minimise the cost of borrowing.

The strategy will keep us within our prescribed limits under the Prudential Code. The Council is seeking at all times to deliver good investment returns that are secure and affordable.”

Contents

Part I – Executive Summary – how we invest and borrow

Introduction 5

National Economic update 9

Part 2 – Technical Detail for Analysis

Borrowing Strategy 12

Prudential Indicators 16

Investment Strategy 19

Non-Treasury Management Investment Strategy 26

Minimum Revenue Statement 31

Other Items 32

Other Options Considered 33

Appendix A – Economic and Interest Rate Forecast October 2018 34

Appendix B - Existing Investment and Debt Portfolio Position 36



How the Treasury Management System works



Infrastructure and capital investment needs to deliver the Plymouth Plan




Borrowing to fund the investment. Investing surplus funds



Who do we borrow from?

NEW
Can we use new borrowing methods to save cost?

What is the limit for different types of investment?



How we achieve good investment returns



How we monitor credit status



Who do we invest with?



Do we pay fixed or variable interest?

CAN WE RESTRUCTURE OUR DEBT TO SAVE COST?



How do we get long term low borrowing costs?

Security is paramount



This section explains how we invest and borrow

Introduction

Treasury Management is the management of the Council's cash flows, borrowing and investments, and the associated risks. The Council has borrowed and invested substantial sums of money and is therefore exposed to financial risks including the effects of changing interest rates.

The Treasury Management Strategy sets out how Plymouth will invest to grow and meet future Infrastructure needs. It is a companion document to the Medium Term Financial Strategy which sets out Plymouth's ambitions and priorities from the Plymouth Plan.

This report fulfils the Council's legal obligation under the Local Government Act 2003 to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Code which was updated in 2018.

INVESTMENTS – FACTS AT A GLANCE

Principles and Objectives of the Treasury Management Strategy

- To achieve the best secure investment returns
- To minimise the cost of borrowing
- To achieve a balanced spread of maturities and commitments
- To achieve the right mix of borrowing vehicles

Market Intelligence

- Bank of England reports
- Market Outlook by the Council's advisers Arlingclose

Statutory and Performance Framework <i>Rules that guide us</i>	Investments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sterling only • Can use UK Government, Local Authority or a body of high credit quality • The Council defines “high credit quality” organisations and securities as those having a credit rating of [A-] or higher and domiciled in UK
	Counterparties and Limits (see table on page 20)
	Investment Limits – subject to credit ratings table on page 21 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No limit UK Government • £25m any single organisation • £45m any group of organisations • £30m per pooled fund manager • £25m negotiable instruments per broker • £25m per registered provider • £20m unrated corporates and pooled funds • £60m money market funds
	Key Council Budget Assumption for 2019/20 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investments make an average rate of return of 1.49%
Approach <i>Choices made within the framework</i>	Objective - Security first, Yield second and then Liquidity Strategy - to maximise returns, reduce risk and diversify investments Risk Assessment and credit ratio - Our advisors monitor credit ratings daily so any new investments will be made using the latest credit information Other information on security of Investments - Market intelligence from our advisors may give warnings before credit warning changes e.g. credit default swaps information

BORROWING – FACTS AT A GLANCE

Principles and Objectives of the Treasury Management Strategy


- To achieve the best secure investment returns
- To minimise the cost of borrowing
- To achieve a balanced spread of maturities and commitments
- To achieve the right mix of borrowing vehicles

Market Intelligence

- Bank of England reports
- Market Outlook by the Council's advisers Arlingclose

Statutory and Performance Framework <i>Rules that guide us</i>	Borrowing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £220m Total Capital Expenditure • £962m Capital Finance Requirement (need to borrow) • £990m Total Debt (loans and private finance initiative) • £1010m Operational Boundary (practical ceiling on borrowing) • £1095m The Authorised Limit (absolute maximum debt approved)
	Prudential Indicators <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9.3% Ratio of finance costs to net revenue stream (borrowing costs as a proportion of net revenue budget) • £19.50 Hypothetical increase in Council Tax affordability. (this is technical measure; the Council has made no future years tax decisions)
	Treasury Management Indicators <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% Limit on Fixed Interest Exposure • 100% Limit on Variable Interest Rate • 0% to 90% Maturity Structure of Borrowing, exposure in any duration
	Minimum Revenue Provision Policy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annuity Method • 50 year repayment for capitalisation directives • PFI/Leases determined by the specific agreement • No MRP on capital loans or investments • Option for capital receipts to be used towards repaying debt
	Key Council Budget Assumption for 2019/20 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New long-term loans will cost an average rate of 3.25%
Approach <i>Choices made within the framework</i>	<p>Objective - Balance low interest rates with long term certainty</p> <p>Strategy – to borrow short term now and lock in long term when appropriate</p> <p>Sources of Finance - Banks or Building Society, Public Works Loan Board, Pension Funds, Capital Market Bonds, Municipal Bonds Agency, anyone with whom we would invest. Also, Leasing, PFI, Sale & Lease back</p> <p>LOBOs will be repaid if there is a NPV saving and if there is agreement with the lenders</p> <p>Municipal Bonds Agency Council will use where appropriate</p> <p>Debt Restructuring A present value calculation based on current rates for the same period of loan may result in a discount or premium.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council will re-schedule if it reduces cost or risk

Delivering the Plymouth plans explains why we are borrowing and investing



Our Corporate Plan includes themes of infrastructure and investment

Corporate Plan

The Corporate Plan sets out our vision to be ‘one of Europe’s most vibrant cities’ and our priorities are to be ‘A Growing City’ and ‘A Caring Council’.

OUR PLAN
A CITY TO BE PROUD OF



CITY VISION
Britain’s Ocean City

One of Europe’s most vibrant waterfront cities, where an outstanding quality of life is enjoyed by everyone.

OUR MISSION Making Plymouth a fairer city, where everyone does their bit.

OUR VALUES

WE ARE DEMOCRATIC

We will provide strong community leadership and work together to deliver our common ambition.

WE ARE RESPONSIBLE

We take responsibility for our actions, care about our impact on others and expect others will do the same.


WE ARE FAIR

We are honest and open in how we act, treat everyone with respect, champion fairness and create opportunities.

WE ARE CO-OPERATIVE

We will work together with partners to serve the best interests of our city and its communities.

OUR PRIORITIES



HOW WE WILL DELIVER


- Listening to our customers and communities.
- Providing quality public services.
- Motivated, skilled and engaged staff.
- Spending money wisely.
- A strong voice for Plymouth regionally and nationally.



www.plymouth.gov.uk/ourplan

The diagram below shows how Capital expenditure affects the Treasury Management Strategy

Strategy Reports:



The diagram above shows how the requirements of the 2018 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) Guidance and The CIPFA Code interact with the Capital and Treasury Management. There is a new Capital Strategy (presented in a separate document) and a new Non-Treasury Management Investment Strategy (shown as service and commercial in the diagram) included in this document.

Specialist advisers Arlingclose support the Council with borrowing and investment advice. This is Arlingclose's expert assessment of the economy in the coming months and years.

Economic update from Treasury Management advisors Arlingclose as at November 2019

The UK's progress negotiating its exit from the European Union, together with its future trading arrangements, will continue to be a major influence on the Council's treasury management strategy for 2020/21.

UK Consumer Price Inflation (CPI) for September registered 1.7% year on year, unchanged from the previous month. Core inflation, which excludes the more volatile components, rose to 1.7% from 1.5% in August.

The most recent labour market data for the three months to August 2019 showed the unemployment rate ticked back up to 3.9% while the employment rate was 75.9%, just below recent record-breaking highs. The headline 3-month average annual growth rate for pay was 3.8% in August as wages continue to rise steadily. In real terms, after adjusting for inflation, pay growth increased 1.9%.

GDP growth rose by 0.3% in the third quarter of 2019 from -0.2% in the previous three months with the annual rate falling further below its trend rate to 1.0% from 1.2%. Services and construction added positively to growth, by 0.6% and 0.4% respectively, while production was flat and agriculture recorded a fall of 0.2%. Looking ahead, the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Report (formerly the Quarterly Inflation Report) forecasts economic growth to pick up during 2020 as Brexit-related uncertainties dissipate and provide a boost to business investment helping GDP reach 1.6% in Q4 2020, 1.8% in Q4 2021 and 2.1% in Q4 2022.

The Bank of England maintained Bank Rate to 0.75% in November following a 7-2 vote by the Monetary Policy Committee. Despite keeping rates on hold, MPC members did confirm that if Brexit uncertainty drags on or global growth fails to recover, they are prepared to cut interest rates as required. Moreover, the downward revisions to some of the growth projections in the Monetary Policy Report suggest the Committee may now be less convinced of the need to increase rates even if there is a Brexit deal.

Growth in Europe remains soft, driven by a weakening German economy which saw GDP fall -0.1% in Q2 and is expected to slip into a technical recession in Q3. Euro zone inflation was 0.8% year on year in September, well below the European Central Bank's target of 'below, but close to 2%' and leading to the central bank holding its main interest rate at 0% while cutting the deposit facility rate to -0.5%. In addition to maintaining interest rates at ultra-low levels, the ECB announced it would recommence its quantitative easing programme from November.

In the US, the Federal Reserve began easing monetary policy again in 2019 as a pre-emptive strike against slowing global and US economic growth on the back on of the ongoing trade war with China. At its last meeting the Fed cut rates to the range of 1.50-1.75% and financial markets expect further loosening of monetary policy in 2020. US GDP growth slowed to 1.9% annualised in Q3 from 2.0% in Q2.

This is Arlingclose's expert view on future interest rates.

Credit Outlook

Credit conditions for larger UK banks have remained relatively benign over the past year. The UK's departure from the European Union was delayed three times in 2019 and while there remains some concern over a global economic slowdown, this has yet to manifest in any credit issues for banks. Meanwhile, the post financial crisis banking reform is now largely complete, with the new ringfenced banks embedded in the market.

Challenger banks hit the news headlines in 2019 with Metro Bank and TSB Bank both suffering adverse publicity and falling customer numbers.

Looking forward, the potential for a "no-deal" Brexit and/or a global recession remain the major risks facing banks and building societies in 2020/21 and a cautious approach to bank deposits remains advisable.

This is Arlingclose's view of the risks of bank failures in the period ahead.

Interest Rate Forecast

The Council's treasury management adviser Arlingclose is forecasting that Bank Rate will remain at 0.75% until the end of 2022. The risks to this forecast are deemed to be significantly weighted to the downside, particularly given the upcoming general election, the need for greater clarity on Brexit and the continuing global economic slowdown. The Bank of England, having previously indicated interest rates may need to rise if a Brexit agreement was reached, stated in its November Monetary Policy Report and its Bank Rate decision (7-2 vote to hold rates) that the MPC now believe this is less likely even in the event of a deal.

Gilt yields have risen but remain at low levels and only some very modest upward movement from current levels are expected based on Arlingclose's interest rate projections. The central case is for 10-year and 20-year gilt yields to rise to around 1.00% and 1.40% respectively over the time horizon, with broadly balanced risks to both the upside and downside. However, short-term volatility arising from both economic and political events over the period is a near certainty.


A more detailed economic and interest rate forecast provided by Arlingclose is attached at Appendix A.

For the purpose of setting the budget, it has been assumed that new treasury management investments will be made at an average rate of 3.0%, and that new long-term loans will be borrowed at an average rate of 3.25%.

Part 2 – Technical Detail for Analysis

Borrowing

This is how much debt and investments we expect to have in the next three years



These are borrowing limits we are required to set by law. They are affordable levels and needed to fund our capital programme.

Maximum Total Debt

The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement. Usable reserves and working capital are the underlying resources available for investment. The current strategy is not to borrow to the full underlying need. Some internal resources are used instead of external borrowing.

CIPFA’s *Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities* sets a maximum for total debt. This is the maximum the CFR is expected to reach at any time during the next three years.

The Council held £465 million of loans in as at 31 March 2019. This was an increase of £98 million on the previous year. The increase in loans is because of funding previous years’ capital programmes.

The Council expects to hold borrowing up to £855m in 2020/21. The total borrowing must not exceed the authorised limit set by the Council of £950 million.

We seek low interest rates, but it is good to be as sure as possible what our interest costs will be in future years.

Objectives of Borrowing Decisions

- To strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest and certainty of costs.
- Flexibility to renegotiate loans should the Council's long-term plans change.

It is much cheaper to borrow for a short period now. Before long term rates rise we intend to lock into fixed rate loans.

Borrowing Strategy

Given the significant cuts to public expenditure and in particular to local government funding, the Council's borrowing strategy continues to address the key issue of affordability without compromising the longer-term stability of the debt portfolio. With short-term interest rates currently much lower than long-term rates, it is likely to be more cost effective in the short-term to either use internal resources and to borrow short-term loans instead.

By doing so, the Council is able to reduce net borrowing costs and reduce overall treasury risk. The benefits of short-term borrowing will be monitored regularly against the potential for incurring additional costs by deferring borrowing into future years when long-term borrowing rates are forecast to rise modestly.

Short term borrowing is the cheapest option but leaves the Council exposed to refinancing risk, which can be divided into interest rate risk (the risk that rates will rise) and availability risk (the risk that no-one will lend to the Council).

Long-term fixed rate loans remove the interest rate risk by fixing the rate for the term of the loan. These have been popular among local authorities but are relatively expensive.

The Council is looking to continue rolling short-term borrowing and to look at taking interest rate swaps. This combines the main benefit of short-term borrowing (the low margin) with the main benefit of the long-term fixed rate borrowing (the fixed rate).

The government increased PWLB rates by 1% in October 2019 making it now a relatively expensive option. The Council will continue to borrow short term but if it decides to take long-term loans, it will look at other sources including banks, pensions and local authorities, and will investigate the possibility of issuing bonds and similar instruments, in order to lower interest costs and reduce over-reliance on one source of funding in line with the CIPFA Code.

Alternatively, the Council may arrange forward starting loans, where the interest rate is fixed in advance, but the cash is received in later years. This would enable certainty of cost to be achieved without suffering a cost of carry in the intervening period.

We are always looking at options to replace existing loans with cheaper alternatives.

The Council will take the option to repay LOBO loans if there is a NPV saving and if there is agreement with the lenders.

The Council will reschedule or repay loans where this is expected to lead to an overall cost saving or a reduction in risk.

The Council will only borrow from approved sources.

These are the lenders we are able to use.

Sources of Borrowing

The approved sources of long-term and short-term borrowing are:

- Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) and any successor body
- Any institution approved for investments (see below)
- Any other bank or building society authorised to operate in the UK
- Any other UK public sector body
- UK public and private sector pension funds (except Devon Local Government Pension Fund)
- Capital market bond investors
- UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc and other special purpose companies created to enable local authority bond issues
- Any other counterparty that are approved by the Council's TM advisors
- A Plymouth City Council bond or similar instruments

In addition, capital finance may be raised by the following methods that are not borrowing, but may be classed as other debt liabilities:

- Leasing
- Hire purchase
- Private Finance Initiative
- Sale and leaseback

The Authority has previously raised some of its long-term borrowing from the PWLB and through

These agreements were entered into under different market conditions. Where possible we will replace them with lower cost loans.

Lender's Option Borrower's Option (LOBOs)

The Council holds £64m of LOBO loans where the lender has the option to propose an increase in the interest rate as set dates, following which the Council has the option to either accept the new rate or to repay the loan at no additional cost.

The Council understands that lenders are unlikely to exercise their options in the current low interest rate environment but there remains an element of refinancing risk.

The Council will take the option to repay LOBO loans if there is a NPV saving and if there is agreement with the lenders.

This allows the flexibility to borrow from the Municipal Bonds Agency

Municipal Bond Agency

UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc was established in 2014 by the Local Government Association as an alternative to the PWLB. It plans to issue bonds on the capital markets and lend the proceeds to local authorities. This will be a more complicated source of finance than the PWLB for two reasons: borrowing authorities will be required to provide bond investors with a guarantee to refund their investment in the event that the agency is unable to for any reason; and there will be a lead time of several months between committing to borrow and knowing the interest rate payable.

Short-term and Variable Rate loans

These loans leave the Council exposed to the risk of short-term interest rate rises and are therefore subject to the interest rate exposure limits in the treasury management indicators below. Financial derivatives may be used to manage this interest rate risk.

If we can, we will replace existing loans with cheaper new loans.

Debt Rescheduling

Some lenders allow the Council to repay loans before maturity and either pay a premium or receive a discount according to a set formula based on current interest rates. Other lenders may also be prepared to negotiate premature redemption terms. The Council may take advantage of this and replace some loans with new loans, or repay loans without replacement, where this is expected to lead to an overall cost saving or a reduction in risk.

Prudential Indicators 2020/21

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities* (the Prudential Code) when determining how much money it can afford to borrow. The objectives of the Prudential Code are to ensure, within a clear framework, that the capital investment plans of local authorities are affordable, prudent and sustainable, and that treasury management decisions are taken in accordance with good professional practice. To demonstrate that the Council has fulfilled these objectives, the Prudential Code sets out the following indicators that must be set and monitored each year.

Estimates of Capital Expenditure

The Council's planned capital expenditure and financing may be summarised as follows.

This is how we will fund the investment needed to deliver the Plymouth Plan

Capital Expenditure and Financing	2018/19 Actual £m	2019/20 Estimate £m	2020/21 Estimate £m	2021/22 Estimate £m
General Fund	134.005	285.955	219.830	158.500
Total Expenditure	134.005	285.955	219.830	158.500
Capital Receipts	2.325	2.330	2.330	2.000
Grants and Contributions	32.078	55.000	88.000	55.000
Reserves	-	-	-	-
Revenue	0.885	1.500	1.500	1.500
Borrowing	98.717	228.567	161.000	100.000
Leasing and PFI	-	-	-	-
Total Financing	134.005	285.955	219.830	158.500

Estimates of Capital Financing Requirement

The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) measures the Council's underlying need to borrow for a capital purpose.

This is the total past and planned capital expenditure we need to finance.

Capital Financing Requirement	31 Mar 19 Actual £m	31 Mar 20 Estimate £m	31 Mar 21 Estimate £m	31 Mar 22 Estimate £m
General Fund	572.620	801.187	962.187	1062.187
Total CFR	572.620	801.187	962.187	1062.187

The CFR is forecast to rise by £261m over the next two years as capital expenditure financed by debt outweighs resources put aside for debt repayment.

Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement

In order to ensure that over the medium term debt will only be for a capital purpose, the Council should ensure that debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of capital financing requirement in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for the current and next two financial years. This is a key indicator of prudence.

This is how much we expect to borrow over the three years

Debt	31 Mar 20 Estimate £m	31 Mar 21 Estimate £m	31 Mar 22 Estimate £m
Borrowing	695.000	855.000	955.000
PFI liabilities & Finance Leases	121.000	135.000	135.000
Total Debt	816.000	990.000	1090.000

Total debt is expected to remain below the CFR during the forecast period.

Operational Boundary for External Debt

The operational boundary is based on the Council's estimate of most likely, (i.e. prudent, but not worst case) scenario for external debt.

This is the flexibility we need to cope with our changing borrowing position from day to day.

Operational Boundary	2019/20 £m	2020/21 £m	2021/22 £m
Borrowing	750.000	875.000	975.000
Other long-term liabilities	125.000	135.000	140.000
Total Debt	875.000	1010.000	1115.000

Authorised Limit for External Debt

The Authorised Limit is the affordable borrowing limit determined in compliance with the Local Government Act 2003 it is the maximum amount of debt that the Council can legally owe. The Authorised Limit provides headroom over and above the operational boundary for unusual cash movements.

This is the absolute maximum of debt approved by the City Council

Authorised Limit	2019/20 £m	2020/21 £m	2021/22 £m
Borrowing	795.000	950.000	1025.000
Other long-term liabilities	130.000	145.000	145.000
Total Debt	925.000	1095.000	1170.000

Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet financing costs, net of investment income.

This measure demonstrates that our proposed borrowing is affordable.

Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream	2018/19 Actual	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate
General Fund	7.3%	8.4%	9.3%

Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions

This is an indicator of affordability that shows the impact of capital investment decisions on Council Tax levels. The incremental impact is the difference between the total revenue budget requirement of the current approved capital programme and the revenue budget requirement arising from the capital programme proposed.

**This is a technical measure prescribed by CIPFA to demonstrate affordability.
The Council has not made any decisions on council tax levels in future years.**

Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions	2018/19 Actual	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate
General Fund - increase in annual band D Council Tax	£18.10	£20.30	£19.50

Adoption of the CIPFA Treasury Management Code

The Council adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice 2011* edition in April 2002. It fully complies with the Codes recommendations.

Treasury Management Investment Strategy

This explains the types of Investments under the CIPFA and MHCLG rules including non-Treasury Management Investments

Introduction

The Council invests its money for three broad purposes:

- because it has surplus cash as a result of its day-to-day activities, for example when income is received in advance of expenditure (known as **treasury management investments**),
- to support local public services by lending to or buying shares in other organisations (**service investments**), and
- to earn investment income (known as **commercial investments** where this is the main purpose).

This investment strategy meets the requirements of statutory guidance issued by the government in January 2018, and focuses on the second and third of these categories.

**This sets out how we invest any surplus funds.
Security of the funds is paramount**

The Council holds significant invested funds, representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. In the past 12 months, the Council's investment balance has ranged between £70m and £95m million, and is expected to remain about the same in the forthcoming year.

Objectives

Both the CIPFA Code requires the Council to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The Council's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk receiving unsuitably low investment income. Where balances are expected to be invested for more than one year, the Council will aim to achieve a total return that is equal or higher than the prevailing rate of inflation, in order to maintain the spending power of the sum invested.

The Council typically receives its income in cash (e.g. from taxes and grants) before it pays for its expenditure in cash (e.g. through payroll and invoices). It also holds reserves for future expenditure. These activities, plus the timing of borrowing decisions, lead to a cash surplus which is invested in accordance with guidance from CIPFA. The balance of treasury management investments is expected to fluctuate between £60m and £110m during the 2020/21 financial year.

The Council defines "high credit quality" organisations and securities as those having a credit rating of [A-] or higher that are domiciled in the UK or a foreign country with a sovereign rating of [AA+] or higher. For money market funds and other pooled funds "high credit quality" is defined as those having a credit rating of [A-] or higher or if unrated an assessment will be made from the financial information available.

Contribution: The contribution that these investments make to the objectives of the Council is to support effective treasury management activities.

These are the limits we use for making individual investments.
They are based on advice from Arlingclose.

Approved investment counterparties and limits

Credit Rating	Banks Unsecured	Banks Secured	Government	Corporates	Registered Providers
UK Govt	n/a	n/a	£ Unlimited 50 years	n/a	n/a
AAA	£6m 5 years	£12m 20 years	£20m 50 years	£6m 20 years	£6m 20 years
AA+	£6m 5 years	£12m 10 years	£12m 25 years	£6m 10 years	£6m 10 years
AA	£6m 4 years	£12m 5 years	£12m 15 years	£6m 5 years	£6m 10 years
AA-	£6m 3 years	£12m 4 years	£12m 10 years	£6m 4 years	£6m 10 years
A+	£6m 2 years	£12m 3 years	£6m 5 years	£6m 3 years	£6m 5 years
A	£6m 13 months	£12m 2 years	£6m 5 years	£6m 2 years	£6m 5 years
A-	£6m 6 months	£12m 13 months	£6m 5 years	£6m 13 months	£6m 5 years
Unrated pooled funds, corporates and real estate investment trusts		£20m per fund or trust			
Unrated investments in equity, quasi-equity, debt or otherwise		£5m per investment for 10 years			

This table must be read in conjunction with the notes below

Investment Limits

The Council's revenue reserves available to cover investment losses were £60 million on 31 March 2018. No more than 60% of available reserves will be put at risk in the case of a single organisation (other than the UK Government). When considering investment limits in the chart below you must also refer to the credit ratings of the individual organisations (see table above) to make the final assessment.

Limits will also be placed on fund managers, investments in brokers' nominee accounts, foreign countries and industry sectors as below. Investments in pooled funds and multilateral development banks do not count against the limit for any single foreign country, since the risk is diversified over many countries.

Investment Limits

	Cash limit
Any single organisation, except the UK Central Government	£25m
UK Central Government	Unlimited
Any group of organisations under the same ownership	£45m per group
Any group of pooled funds under the same management	£30m per manager
Negotiable instruments held in a broker's nominee account	£25m per broker
Foreign countries	£10m per country
Registered Providers	£25m in total
Unsecured investments with Building Societies	£10m in total
Loans and investments to unrated corporates and pooled funds	£25m in total
Money Market Funds	£60m in total
Real estate investments trusts	£10m in total
Unrated investments in equity, quasi-equity, debt or otherwise	£5m in total

Liquidity Management

The Council uses a cash flow forecasting spreadsheet to determine the amount of cash required on a day to day basis to determine the maximum period for which funds may prudently be committed. The forecast is compiled on a prudent basis to minimise the risk of the Council being forced to borrow on unfavourable terms to meet its financial commitments. Limits on long-term investments are set by reference to the Council's medium term financial plan and cash flow forecast.

This is the rate we expect to pay on new borrowing, and how much we expect to earn on investments.

Council Budget Assumptions for 2020/21

- Investments will make an average rate of 1.49%
- New long-term loans will cost an average rate of 3.25%

Negative Interest Rates

If the UK enters into a recession in 2019/20, there is a small chance that the Bank of England could set its Bank Rate at or below zero, which is likely to feed through to negative interest rates on all low risk, short-term investment options. This situation already exists in many other European countries. In this event, security will be measured as receiving the contractually agreed amount at maturity, even though this may be less than the amount originally invested.

Strategy

Given the increased risk and very low returns from short-term unsecured bank investments, the Council aims to further diversify into more secure and higher yielding asset classes during 2019/20. The Council holds

£35m as a longer-term investment (CCLA Property Fund, CCLA Diversified Fund and Schroder's Income Maximiser) and these give a higher return than the short term investments.

The majority of the Council's surplus cash is currently invested in short-term unsecured bank deposits, certificates of deposit, pooled funds and money market funds. The Council will continue to look for investment opportunities that give a good return whilst being a secure investment.

Business models:

Under the new IFRS 9 standard, the accounting for certain investments depends on the Council's "business model" for managing them. The Council aims to achieve value from its internally managed treasury investments by a business model of collecting the contractual cash flows and therefore, where other criteria are also met, these investments will continue to be accounted for at amortised cost.

Approved Counterparties

The Council may invest its surplus funds with any of the counterparty types in counterparty table above, subject to the cash limits (per counterparty) and the time limits shown.

Credit Rating

Investment limits are set by reference to the lowest published long-term credit rating from a selection of external rating agencies. Where available, the credit rating relevant to the specific investment or class of investment is used, otherwise the counterparty credit rating is used. However, investment decisions are never made solely based on credit ratings, and all relevant factors including external advice will be taken into account.

Banks Unsecured

Accounts, deposits, certificates of deposit and senior unsecured bonds with banks and building societies, other than multilateral development banks. These investments are subject to the risk of credit loss via a bail-in should the regulator determine that the bank is failing or likely to fail. See below for arrangements relating to operational bank accounts.

Banks Secured

Covered bonds, reverse repurchase agreements and other collateralised arrangements with banks and building societies. These investments are secured on the bank's assets, which limits the potential losses in the unlikely event of insolvency, and means that they are exempt from bail-in. Where there is no investment specific credit rating, but the collateral upon which the investment is secured has a credit rating, the higher of the collateral credit rating and the counterparty credit rating will be used to determine cash and time limits. The combined secured and unsecured investments in any one bank will not exceed the cash limit for secured investments.

Government

Loans, bonds and bills issued or guaranteed by national governments, regional and local authorities and multilateral development banks. These investments are not subject to bail-in, and there is generally a lower risk of insolvency, although they are not zero risk. Investments with the UK Central Government may be made in unlimited amounts for up to 50 years.

Corporates

Loans, bonds and commercial paper issued by companies other than banks and registered providers. These investments are not subject to bail-in, but are exposed to the risk of the company going insolvent. Loans to unrated companies will only be issued following finance due diligence, loan agreement and security assessment.

Registered Providers

Loans and bonds issued by, guaranteed by or secured on the assets of Registered Providers of Social Housing and registered social landlords, formerly known as Housing Associations. These bodies are tightly regulated by the Regulator of Social Housing and, as providers of public services; they retain the likelihood of receiving government support if needed.

Pooled Funds

Shares or units in diversified investment vehicles consisting of any of the above investment types, plus equity shares and property. These funds have the advantage of providing wide diversification of investment risks, coupled with the services of a professional fund manager in return for a fee. Short-term Money Market Funds that offer same-day liquidity and very low or no volatility will be used as an alternative to instant access bank accounts, while pooled funds whose value changes with market prices and/or have a notice period will be used for longer investment periods.

Bond, equity and property funds offer enhanced returns over the longer term, but are more volatile in the short term. These allow the Council to diversify into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. Because these funds have no defined maturity date, but are available for withdrawal after a notice period, their performance and continued suitability in meeting the Council's investment objectives will be monitored regularly.

Real estate investment trusts

Shares in companies that invest mainly in real estate and pay the majority of their rental income to investors in a similar manner to pooled property funds. As with property funds, REITs offer enhanced returns over the longer term, but are more volatile especially as the share price reflects changing demand for the shares as well as changes in the value of the underlying properties. Investments in REIT shares cannot be withdrawn but can be sold on the stock market to another investor.

Operational Bank Accounts

The Council may incur operational exposures, for example through current accounts, collection accounts and merchant acquiring services, to any UK bank with credit ratings no lower than AAA- and with assets greater than £25 billion. These are not classed as investments, but are still subject to the risk of a bank bail-in, and balances should be kept below £5m per bank. The Bank of England has stated that in the event of failure, banks with assets greater than £25 billion are more likely to be bailed-in than made insolvent, increasing the chance of the Council maintaining operational continuity.

Risk Assessment and Credit Ratings

Credit ratings are obtained and monitored by the Council's treasury advisers, who will notify changes in ratings as they occur. Where an entity has its credit rating downgraded so that it fails to meet the approved investment criteria then:

- No new investments will be made
- Any existing investments that can be recalled or sold at no cost will be, and
- Full consideration will be given to the recall or sale of all other existing investments with the affected counterparty

Where a credit rating agency announces that a credit rating is on review for possible downgrade (also known as "rating watch negative" or "credit watch negative") so that it may fall below the approved rating criteria, then only investments that can be withdrawn on the next working day will be made with that organisation until the outcome of the review is announced. This policy will not apply to negative outlooks, which indicate a long-term direction of travel rather than an imminent change of rating.

Other Information on the Security of Investments

The Council understands that credit ratings are good, but not perfect, predictors of investment default. Full regard will therefore be given to other available information on the credit quality of the organisations in which it invests, including credit default swap prices, financial statements, information on potential government support and reports in the quality financial press and analysis and advice from the Council's treasury management adviser. No investments will be made with an organisation if there are substantive doubts about its credit quality, even though it may otherwise meet the above criteria.

When deteriorating financial market conditions affect the creditworthiness of all organisations, as happened in 2008 and 2011, this is not generally reflected in credit ratings, but can be seen in other market measures. In these circumstances, the Council will restrict its investments to those organisations of higher credit quality and reduce the maximum duration of its investments to maintain the required level of security.

The extent of these restrictions will be in line with prevailing financial market conditions. If these restrictions mean that insufficient commercial organisations of high credit quality are available to invest the Council's cash balances, then the surplus will be deposited with the UK Government, via the Debt Management Office or invested in government treasury bills for example, or with other local authorities. This will cause a reduction in the level of investment income earned, but will protect the principal sum invested.

Treasury Management Indicators

The Council measures and manages its exposures to treasury management risks using the following indicators.

This is how we measure our performance.

Security

The Council has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to credit risk by monitoring the value-weighted average credit rating of its investment portfolio. This is calculated by applying a score to each investment (AAA=1, AA+=2, etc.) and taking the arithmetic average, weighted by the size of each investment. Unrated investments are assigned a score based on their perceived risk.

	Target
Portfolio average credit rating	A

This is how we ensure that we have cash available to meet unexpected payments.

Liquidity:

The Council does not keep large amounts of cash in call accounts so that it reduces the cost of carrying excess cash. To mitigate the liquidity risk of not having cash available to meet unexpected payments the Council has access to borrow additional, same day, cash from other local authorities.

This is a technical measure to limit how much we can be affected by changing interest rates.

Interest Rate Exposures

This indicator is set to control the Council's exposure to interest rate risk. The upper limits on fixed and variable rate interest rate exposures, expressed as the proportion of net principal borrowed will be:

	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23
--	---------	---------	---------

Upper limit on fixed interest rate exposure	100%	100%	100%
Upper limit on variable interest rate exposure	100%	100%	100%

Fixed rate investments and borrowings are those where the rate of interest is fixed for at least 12 months, measured from the start of the financial year or the transaction date if later. All other instruments are classed as variable rate.

Our loans fall due for repayment at various dates. We expect to have mainly fixed rate debt for longer loans. This avoids the risk of extra interest costs.

Maturity Structure of Borrowing

This indicator is set to control the Council's exposure to refinancing risk. The upper and lower limits on the maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing will be:

	Upper	Lower
Under 12 months	90%	10%
12 months and within 24 months	40%	0%
24 months and within 5 years	40%	0%
5 years and within 10 years	50%	0%
10 years and above	80%	10%

Time periods start on the first day of each financial year. The maturity date of borrowing is the earliest date on which the lender can demand repayment.

Principal Sums Invested for Periods Longer than 365 days

The purpose of this indicator is to control the Council's exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments. The limits on the long-term principal sum invested to final maturities beyond the period end will be:

	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23
Limit on principal invested beyond one year	£40m	£50m	£50m

Non-Treasury Management Investments

Introduction

The non-treasury management investment strategy is a new report for 2019/20, following the requirements of statutory guidance issued by the government (MHCLG) in January 2018, and focuses on the second and third of the following investment categories.

The Council invests its money for three broad purposes:

1. **Treasury Management Investments** – to invest surplus cash from reserves and as a result of its day-to-day activities, for example when income is received in advance of expenditure;
2. **Service Investments** - to support local public services by lending to or buying shares in other organisations; and
3. **Commercial Investments** - to earn investment income (where this is the main purpose).

Treasury Management Investments

The Council typically receives its income in cash (e.g. from taxes and grants) before it pays for its expenditure in cash (e.g. through payroll and invoices). It also holds reserves for future expenditure and collects local taxes on behalf of other local authorities and central government. These activities, plus the timing of borrowing decisions, lead to a cash surplus which is invested in accordance with the CIPFA guidance.

The contribution that these investments make to the objectives of the Council is to support effective treasury management activities.

Full details of the Council's policies and its plan for 2020/21 for treasury management investments are covered in the preceding sections of this document.

Service Investments

Loans

The Council may lend money to its subsidiaries, its suppliers, local businesses, local charities or housing associations etc. to support local public services and stimulate local economic growth. For example the Council has given a loan to Plymouth Community Energy to support the construction of the solar energy farm at Ernesettle.

The council will ensure that a full due diligence exercise is undertaken and adequate security is in place. The business case will balance the benefits and risks. All loans are agreed by the Section 151 Officer and the Leader. All loans will be subject to close, regular monitoring.

Loans are treated as capital expenditure for accounting treatment.

Security: The main risk when making service loans is that the borrower will be unable to repay the principal lent and/or the interest due.

Accounting standards require the Council to set aside loss allowance for loans, reflecting the likelihood of non-payment. The figures for loans in the Council's statement of accounts will be shown net of this loss allowance. However, the Council makes every reasonable effort to collect the full sum lent and has appropriate credit control arrangements in place to recover overdue repayments.

Risk assessment: The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding service loans by:

1. reviewing the financial statements of the organisation and reviewing the organisation's business plans and future projections and future cash flows;
2. assessing what security is available to secure the loan and if necessary carry out a professional valuation of any property;
3. using external advisors to provide professional information such as due diligence requirements;
4. the loan agreements are reviewed by our legal team to ensure that they are legally compliant and includes any safeguards for the Council;
5. if an organisation has a credit rating we will carry out a credit check to assist;
6. State Aid rules are taken into account before a loan can be considered.

Shares

The Council may invest in the shares of its subsidiaries, its suppliers, and local businesses to support local public services and stimulate local economic growth.

Security: One of the risks of investing in shares is that they fall in value meaning that the initial outlay may not be recovered.

Risk assessment: The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding shares by reviewing the history of the organisation; its financial statements and its share values. The Council will also look at business plans, future cash flows and any other market information that may affect the organisation.

Liquidity: The Council covers its liquidity for working capital and cash flow by holding cash in its Money Market Fund and being able to borrow short term loans from other local authorities.

Commercial Investments: Property

The MHCLG defines property to be an investment if it is held primarily or partially to generate a profit.

Contribution: The Council invests in local and regional, commercial and residential property with the intention of making a profit, after paying the borrowing costs that will be spent on local public services.

Property held for investment purposes

Asset Investment Fund	Actual 2018/19	Estimate 2019/20	Forecast 2020/21
Commercial Property	£129.137m	£200.000m	£240,000m
Net Income	£2.794m	£4.000	£4.800m
Net Return	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%

Security: In accordance with government guidance, the Council considers a property investment to be secure if its accounting valuation is at or higher than its purchase cost including taxes and transaction costs.

A fair value assessment of the Council's investment property portfolio has been made within the past twelve months, and the underlying assets provide security for capital investment.

Where the fair value of the Council's investment property portfolio is no longer sufficient to provide security against loss, and the Council will take mitigating actions to protect the capital invested. These actions include enhancing or refurbishing the assets and reviewing the rents agreements.

Risk assessment: The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding property investments by carrying out the evaluation process described below. The risk of not achieving the desired profit or borrowing costs increasing or the having vacant premises is partially covered by a void reserve. Annual payments are deducted from the rental income each year to add to the void reserve.

Liquidity: Compared with other investment types, property is relatively difficult to sell and convert to cash at short notice, and can take a considerable period to sell in certain market conditions. To ensure that the invested funds can be accessed when they are needed, for example to repay capital borrowed, the Council makes an internal charge (service borrowing) to cover the capital repayments from the rental income.

The Council also makes alternative arrangement to cover their short term cash requirements.

Proportionality

The Council is dependent on profit generating investment activity to achieve a balanced revenue budget. Table 4 below shows the extent to which the expenditure planned to meet the service delivery objectives and/or place making role of the Council is dependent on achieving the expected net profit from investments over the lifecycle of the Medium Term Financial Plan.

Table 4: Proportionality of Investments

	2018/19 Actual
Gross expenditure on provision of services	£531.681
Gross Investment income	£2.794m
Proportion	0.53%

Borrowing in Advance of Need

Government guidance is that local authorities must not borrow more than or in advance of their needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. The Council has chosen not to follow this guidance and has previously borrowed for this purpose because it wants to generate income to support its statutory duties. This is a common practice by local authorities since the new guidance was issued.

Investment Evaluation Process

The Council's due diligence assessment processes are consistent and robust evaluation process and is set out below:

- I. Proposed investment opportunities are reported by suitably qualified and experienced in-house MRICS (Member of the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors) professionals.

2. This assessment provides analysis of a set of key criteria against which every prospective purchase is evaluated. The presentation of information highlights fundamental matters such as tenant covenant strength, lease length and location, in a transparent and consistent format, to support clear scrutiny and decisions.
3. The assessment provides a basis for scoring and weighting risk, to support the analysis of potential acquisitions and qualify overall suitability for inclusion in the portfolio.
4. The score threshold is not an absolute, but helps guide decisions.
5. To ensure arms-length objectivity, external agents provide professional market analysis, data and advice, in the context of the Property Investment Strategy, to support the evaluation and internal reporting process.
6. Since tenant default is a significant threat to the performance of the property investment financial checks are made on the proposed tenants. This is augmented by additional internal assessment of tenants' covenant and likely future performance.
7. With all the additional information a detailed model is produced. The model is tailored for each prospective investment, by including items such as future demand, yield, cash flows; rental movement, optimal holding periods for the property and data to support profitability modelling.
8. If a decision is made to proceed, in-house surveyors lead negotiations, via the introducing/retained external agents, who are professional property firms.
 - A valuation, in accordance with the RICS Red Book, Professional Valuation Standards, issued by RICS as part of their commitment to promoting and support high standards in valuation delivery worldwide. The publication details mandatory practices for RICS members undertaking valuation services.
 - A Building Survey report, as part of the proposed purchase for investment purpose, including preparation of a Site Environmental Assessment and preparation of a Reinstatement Cost Assessment for insurance purposes.
9. The above is reviewed by the Asset Portfolio Manager as an experienced in-house MRICS (Member of the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors) professional, with support from the internal multi-disciplinary property teams, for final decision by the Head of Land and Property on whether to proceed.
10. Head of Land and Property Projects receives regular updates on market activity, trends, forecasts and occupier activity from RICS firms and in-house surveyors to support the decision process.

Property Investment Governance

Clear, robust and transparent governance is critical to the strategy, meeting the statutory guidance and ensuring an appropriate level of due diligence and scrutiny is applied, together with objective arms-length external advice where appropriate. It is also important to ensure any decision process retains fluidity, so officers are empowered to respond promptly and competitively, to investment opportunities in the market and avoid missing opportunities through delay.

The Council to acquire or dispose of land is vested in the Head of Land and Property and where the land is purchased through the Asset Investment Fund a proposal is presented to the City Capital Investment Board (CCIB) a recommended for authorisation by the relevant Leader, Legal and the Section 151 Officer.

Capacity, Skills and Culture

The Council employs professionally qualified and experienced staff in senior positions with responsibility for making capital expenditure, borrowing and investment decisions. For example, the Service Director of Finance is a qualified accountant with over 20 years' experience.

The Council employs staff with professional qualifications including CIPFA, ACCA, CIMA, MRICS, CIPS etc. and pays for junior staff to study towards relevant qualifications.

Where Council staff do not have the knowledge and skills required, use is made of external advisers and consultants that are specialists in their field. The Council currently employs Arlingclose Limited as treasury management advisers. This approach is more cost effective than employing such staff directly, and ensures that the Council has access to knowledge and skills commensurate with its risk appetite.

Head of Land and Property and the property team receives regular updates on market activity, trends, forecasts and occupier activity from RICS firms and in-house surveyors to support the decision process.

How investments are funded:

Asset Investment Fund commercial property purchases are funded by borrowing. The borrowing is not directly taken out against each property but is managed through our Treasury Management function.

The rental income generated from the purchasing of commercial property is used to repay the borrowing before the net income is used in the supporting of services.

Rate of return received: This indicator shows the investment income received less the associated costs, including the cost of borrowing where appropriate, as a proportion of the sum initially invested. Note that due to the complex local government accounting framework, not all recorded gains and losses affect the revenue account in the year they are incurred.

Loan Commitments and Financial Guarantees

Although not strictly counted as investments, since no money has exchanged hands yet, loan commitments and financial guarantees carry similar risks to the Council.

Annual Minimum Revenue Provision Statement 2020/21

Where the Council finances capital expenditure by debt, it must put aside resources to repay that debt in later years. The amount charged to the revenue budget for the repayment of debt is known as Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), although there has been no statutory minimum since 2008.

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government's (MHCLG) Guidance on Minimum Revenue Provision (the MHCLG Guidance) updated in 2018.

The MHCLG Guidance requires the Council to approve an Annual MRP Statement each year, and recommends a number of options for calculating a prudent amount of MRP.

Minimum Revenue Position Policy

For assets acquired after 31 March 2008 MRP will be determined by charging the expenditure over the expected useful life of the asset on an annuity basis, starting in the year after the asset becomes operational. MRP on purchases of freehold land will be charged over 50 years.

The MRP payment is funded from revenue with an option that part or all of the payment could be funded from capital receipts to repay debt.

MRP will commence in the financial year following the asset coming into use or after purchase.

Expenditure funded by borrowing where the project is being built and is not complete at 31st March 2018 (classified as under construction). MRP will be deferred until the construction is complete and operational with the charge to be made in the year following completion.

For capital expenditure incurred before 1st April 2008, for supported capital expenditure incurred on or before that date, MRP will be charged on an annuity basis over 50 years, incorporating an "Adjustment A" in accordance to the guidance.

Investment properties will be charged MRP for properties that have reduced in value at the year-end valuation. For investment properties that have increased in value at the year-end valuation these will have nil MRP charge in that year. The investment properties are required to have life cycle maintenance and therefore are assumed to increase in value over time. This will extend the life of the assets and therefore it would not be appropriate to charge MRP.

All investment properties that are sold by the Council will use the capital receipts to repay the outstanding loan finance for that property before any balance of capital receipts is available for other capital projects.

External Loans

For capital expenditure loans to third parties that are repaid in instalments of principal, the Council will make nil MRP, but will instead apply the capital receipts arising from principal repayments to reduce the capital financing requirement instead.

In years where there is no principal repayment, MRP will be charged in accordance with the MRP policy for the assets funded by the loan, including where appropriate, delaying MRP until the year after the assets become operational. While this is not one of the options in the MHCLG Guidance, it is thought to be a prudent approach since it ensures that the capital expenditure incurred in the loan is fully funded over the life of the assets.

Capitalisation Directions - For capitalisation directions on expenditure incurred since 1 April 2008 MRP will be made using the annuity method over 50 years.

PFI/Leases - For assets acquired by leases or the Private Finance Initiative, MRP will be determined as being equal to the element of the rent or charge that goes to write down the balance sheet liability.

Other Items

There are a number of additional items that the Council is obliged by CIPFA or MHCLG to include in its Treasury Management Strategy.

Policy on use of Financial Derivatives

Local authorities have previously made use of financial derivatives embedded into loans and investments both to reduce interest rate risk (e.g. interest rate collars and forward deals) and to reduce costs or increase income at the expense of greater risk (e.g. LOBO loans and callable deposits). The general power of competence in Section 1 of the *Localism Act 2011* removes much of the uncertainty over local authorities' use of standalone financial derivatives (i.e. those that are not embedded into a loan or investment).

The Council will only use standalone financial derivatives (such as swaps, forwards, futures and options) where they can be clearly demonstrated to reduce the overall level of the financial risks that the Council is exposed to. Additional risks presented, such as credit exposure to derivative counterparties, will be taken into account when determining the overall level of risk. Embedded derivatives, including those present in pooled funds and forward starting transactions, will not be subject to this policy, although the risks they present will be managed in line with the overall treasury risk management strategy.

Financial derivative transactions may be arranged with any organisation that meets the approved investment criteria. The current value of any amount due from a derivative counterparty will count against the counterparty credit limit and the relevant foreign country limit.

In line with the CIPFA Code, the Authority will seek external advice and will consider that advice before entering into financial derivatives to ensure that it fully understands the implications.

Investment Training

The needs of the Council's treasury management staff for training in investment management are assessed every twelve months as part of the staff appraisal process, and additionally when the responsibilities of individual members of staff change.

Staff regularly attend training courses, seminars and conferences provided by Arlingclose and CIPFA. Relevant staffs are also encouraged to study professional qualifications from CIPFA, the Association of Corporate Treasurers and other appropriate organisations.

Markets in Financial Instruments Directive

Markets in Financial Instruments Directive: The Council has opted up to professional client status with its providers of financial services, including advisers, banks, brokers and fund managers, allowing it access to a greater range of services but without the greater regulatory protections afforded to individuals and small companies. Given the size and range of the Council's treasury management activities, the Section 151 Officer believes this to be the most appropriate status.

Other options considered

The MHCLG Guidance and the CIPFA Code do not prescribe any particular treasury management strategy for local authorities to adopt. The Section 151 Officer, having consulted the Cabinet Member for Finance, believes that the above strategy represents an appropriate balance between risk management and cost effectiveness. Some alternative strategies, with their financial and risk management implications, are listed below.

Investment of Money Borrowed in Advance of Need

The Council may, from time to time, borrow in advance of need, where this is expected to provide the best long term value for money. Since amounts borrowed will be invested until spent, the Council is aware that it will be exposed to the risk of loss of the borrowed sums, and the risk that investment and borrowing interest rates may change in the intervening period. These risks will be managed as part of the Council's overall management of its treasury risks.

The total amount borrowed will not exceed the authorised borrowing limit. The maximum period between borrowing and expenditure is expected to be less than one year, although the Council is not required to link particular loans with particular items of expenditure.

Alternative	Impact on income and expenditure	Impact on risk management
Invest in a narrower range of counterparties and/or for shorter times	Interest income will be lower	Lower chance of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses may be greater
Invest in a wider range of counterparties and/or for longer times	Interest income will be higher	Increased risk of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses may be smaller
Borrow additional sums at long-term fixed interest rates	Debt interest costs will rise; this is unlikely to be offset by higher investment income	Higher investment balance leading to a higher impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs may be more certain
Borrow short-term or variable loans instead of long-term fixed rates	Debt interest costs will initially be lower	Increases in debt interest costs will be broadly offset by rising investment income in the medium term, but long term costs may be less certain
Reduce level of borrowing	Saving on debt interest is likely to exceed lost investment income	Reduced investment balance leading to a lower impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs may be less certain

Appendix A – Arlingclose Economic and Interest Rate Forecast November 2019

Underlying assumptions

- The global economy is entering a period of slower growth in response to political issues, primarily the trade policy stance of the US. The UK economy has displayed a marked slowdown in growth due to both Brexit uncertainty and the downturn in global activity. In response, global and UK interest rate expectations have eased.
- Some positivity on the trade negotiations between China and the US has prompted worst case economic scenarios to be pared back. However, information is limited, and upbeat expectations have been wrong before.
- Brexit has been delayed until 31 January 2020. While the General Election has maintained economic and political uncertainty, the opinion polls suggest the Conservative position in parliament may be strengthened, which reduces the chance of Brexit being further frustrated. A key concern is the limited transitional period following a January 2020 exit date, which will maintain and create additional uncertainty over the next few years.
- UK economic growth has stalled despite Q3 2019 GDP of 0.3%. Monthly figures indicate growth waned as the quarter progressed and survey data suggest falling household and business confidence. Both main political parties have promised substantial fiscal easing, which should help support growth.
- While the potential for divergent paths for UK monetary policy remain in the event of the General Election result, the weaker external environment severely limits potential upside movement in Bank Rate, while the slowing UK economy will place pressure on the MPC to loosen monetary policy. Indeed, two MPC members voted for an immediate cut in November 2019.
- Inflation is running below target at 1.7%. While the tight labour market risks medium-term domestically-driven inflationary pressure, slower global growth should reduce the prospect of externally driven pressure, although political turmoil could push up oil prices.
- Central bank actions and geopolitical risks will continue to produce significant volatility in financial markets, including bond markets.

Forecast:

- Although we have maintained our Bank Rate forecast at 0.75% for the foreseeable future, there are substantial risks to this forecast, dependant on General Election outcomes and the evolution of the global economy.
- Arlingclose judges that the risks are weighted to the downside.
- Gilt yields have risen but remain low due to the soft UK and global economic outlooks. US monetary policy and UK government spending will be key influences alongside UK monetary policy.
- We expect gilt yields to remain at relatively low levels for the foreseeable future and judge the risks to be broadly balanced.

	Dec-19	Mar-20	Jun-20	Sep-20	Dec-20	Mar-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dec-21	Mar-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Dec-22	Average
Official Bank Rate														
Upside risk	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.21
Arlingclose Central Case	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Downside risk	-0.50	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.73
3-month money market rate														
Upside risk	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.25
Arlingclose Central Case	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Downside risk	-0.50	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.75	-0.73
1yr money market rate														
Upside risk	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.23
Arlingclose Central Case	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Downside risk	-0.30	-0.50	-0.55	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.65	-0.60
5yr gilt yield														
Upside risk	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.37
Arlingclose Central Case	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.57
Downside risk	-0.35	-0.50	-0.50	-0.55	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.56
10yr gilt yield														
Upside risk	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.37
Arlingclose Central Case	0.75	0.75	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.88
Downside risk	-0.40	-0.40	-0.40	-0.40	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.50	-0.50	-0.50	-0.50	-0.50	-0.45
20yr gilt yield														
Upside risk	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.37
Arlingclose Central Case	1.20	1.20	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.40	1.40	1.30
Downside risk	-0.40	-0.40	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.50	-0.50	-0.45
50yr gilt yield														
Upside risk	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.37
Arlingclose Central Case	1.20	1.20	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.40	1.40	1.30
Downside risk	-0.40	-0.40	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.45	-0.50	-0.50	-0.45

Appendix B - Existing Investment and Debt Portfolio Position

	31 Oct 2019 Actual Portfolio £m	31 Oct 2019 Average Rate %
External Borrowing:		
PWLB – Fixed Rate	44.3	5.76
Local Authorities	442.0	0.91
LOBO Loans	64.0	4.34
Long Term Borrowing	18.0	4.37
Total External Borrowing	415.8	1.78
Other Long Term Liabilities:		
PFI, Finance Leases and other liabilities	120.5	n/a
Other loans	11.7	n/a
Total Gross External Debt	647.0	
Investments:		
<i>Managed in-house</i>		
Short-term Money Market Funds	40.0	0.68
Other Short Term investments	19.8	0.92
<i>Managed externally</i>		
CCLA Pooled Funds	25.0	3.80
Other Pooled Funds	25.0	2.75
Total Investments	109.8	1.88
Net Debt	537.0	

Treasury Management Strategy 2020-21

Published by:
Plymouth City Council
Ballard House
West Hoe Road
Plymouth PL1 3BJ

Tel 01752 304212
Email: chris.flower@plymouth.gov.uk
www.plymouth.gov.uk